# Synthesis and structures of half-sandwich $\mathbf{W}(\mathrm{vi})$ tri(selenido) and $W$ (II) selenolato complexes 
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The reaction of $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{WCl}_{4}$ with $\mathrm{LiSeBu}^{\mathrm{t}}$ in THF in the presence of $\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{NC}$ gave rise to $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~W}\left(\mathrm{SeBu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)\left(\mathrm{CNBu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)_{3} 3$, while treatment of the $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{WCl}_{4} / \mathrm{LiSeBu}^{\mathrm{t}}$ reaction mixture with $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}$ followed by cation exchange with $\mathrm{PPh}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ in MeCN afforded $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right)\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{WSe}_{3}\right] 1$.

The chemistry of terminal selenido complexes is much less developed than that of the familiar metal oxides and sulfides. ${ }^{1}$ This is mainly because many of the traditional reagents used in metal oxo and sulfido chemistry are not simply transferable to their selenido congeners. ${ }^{2}$ In the course of our studies of group 6 transition metal chalcogenido chemistry, we previously isolated the half-sandwich tri(sulfido) complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{MS}_{3}\right]^{-}$ $(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W}) .^{3,4}$ Carbon-sulfur bond rupture of thiolato complexes gives ready access to thiolato/sulfido derivatives and eventually $\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{MS}_{3}\right]^{-}$. Here we report the synthesis of $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right)\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{WSe}_{3}\right] \mathbf{1}$ via $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Se}$ bond cleavage.

For the selenolation reagent, the readily accessible $\mathrm{LiSeBu}{ }^{\mathrm{t}}$ was employed, which is prepared by the reaction of $\mathrm{LiBu}^{\mathrm{t}}$ with 1 equiv. of selenium in THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. We have reported facile C-S bond cleavage of tert-butylthiolato complexes of group 5 and 6 metals, ${ }^{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Se}$ bond activation was expected to occur for the analogous selenolato complexes. Addition of 4 equiv. of LiSeBu' to $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{WCl}_{4}$ in THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave a red solution. After stirring for 30 min , the solution turned brown, from which $\mathrm{Cp}_{2}{ }_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Se})_{2} 2$ was obtained in $40 \%$ yield (Scheme 1). Spectral data show that $\mathbf{2}$ is a mixture of $s y n$ - and anti-isomers. In a separate experiment, a freshly prepared $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{WCl}_{4} / \mathrm{LiSeBu}^{\mathrm{t}}$ mixture was quickly transferred into a THF solution of $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}$ to give a dark red suspension. Cation exchange with $\mathrm{PPh}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ in MeCN provided the intriguing tri(selenido) complex 1 as dark red crystals in $23 \%$ yield concomitant with 2 (14\%). $\dagger$ This synthetic route to $\mathbf{1}$ is reminiscent of the preparation of [ $\left.\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{MoS}_{3}\right]^{-}$by the reaction of $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{MoS}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SBut}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ with $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ and supports the generation of the hypothetical $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{WSe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SeBu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ intermediate in the $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{WCl}_{4} / \mathrm{LiSeBu}{ }^{t}$ reaction. ${ }^{3}$ While the


Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}$, THF; ii, $\mathrm{PPh}_{4} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{MeCN}$; iii, $30 \mathrm{~min},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, THF; iv, Bu'NC, THF.
reaction of $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{WCl}_{4}$ with $\mathrm{LiSBu}^{\mathrm{t}}$ afforded $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{~W}\left(\mathrm{SBu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)_{3}$, $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{WS}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SBut}^{t}\right.$, and $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{S})_{2}$, all attempts to isolate the expected Se analogues, such as $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{~W}\left(\mathrm{SeBu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{WSe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SeBut}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)$, have failed.

Fig. 1 shows the three-legged piano-stool structure of the anion of $\mathbf{1} \ddagger$ The average W-Se distance of $2.322 \AA$ in $\mathbf{1}$ is similar to that of $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{WSe}_{4}\right][2.314(1) \AA] .{ }^{5}$ The IR spectrum of 1 shows the $W=$ Se stretching frequency at $284 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ comparable to that of $\left[\mathrm{WSe}_{4}\right]^{2-} .6$ The ${ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}$ NMR signal ( $\delta$ 1437) lies in the range of chemical shifts for terminal selenido ligands. ${ }^{7}$ The UV-VIS spectra of 2 and its sulfur congener $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right)\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{WS}_{3}\right]$ are similar, showing a strong absorption at 437 and 377 nm , respectively, assignable to charge-transfer transitions from selenium and sulfur to the vacant d orbital of tungsten. The red shift on going from sulfide to selenide ( 0.45 eV ) compares well with the difference in the first ionization potentials of S and $\mathrm{Se} .{ }^{8}$

In another experiment, we carried out the $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{WCl}_{4} / \mathrm{LiSeBu}^{\mathrm{t}}$ reaction in the presence of $\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{NC}$, from which $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{Se}-$ $\left.\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)\left(\mathrm{CNBut}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)_{3} 3$ was isolated as brown crystals in $41 \%$ yield (Scheme 1).§ The X-ray structure of $3 \ddagger$ shows the metal surrounded in a distorted trigonal-bipyramidal geometry wherein the Se and $\mathrm{C}(20)$ atoms occupy axial positions, if the Cp* ligand is viewed as a monodentate ligand (Fig. 1). While two of the three $\mathrm{But}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{NC}$ ligands are nearly linear [ $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}=$ $\left.175.8(8), 164.3(7)^{\circ}\right]$, the other contains essentially an $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-type N atom with a $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ angle of $128.7(6)^{\circ}$ amongst the smallest of known bent isocyanides (122-156 ). ${ }^{9}$ The presence of the bent isocyanide is ascribed to extensive $\pi$-back donation from the electron-rich W (II) metal ion to the isocyanide. The bent isocyanide also has a shorter $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}$ bond [1.984(7) $\AA$ ] and a longer $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ipso }}-\mathrm{N}$ bond [1.220(9) $\AA$ ] relative to the corresponding values in two linear isocyanides (mean 2.032 and $1.151 \AA$ ). This structural feature is consistent with the two $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ stretching frequencies at 2038 and $1813 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ observed in the IR spectrum. The W-Se distance of $2.6271(7) \AA$ is comparable to that of $\mathrm{CpW}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{SeCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)[2.623(1) \AA] .{ }^{10} \mathrm{On}$ the other hand, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3 in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ at room temperature shows three singlets assignable to tert-butyl groups in an intensity ratio of $2: 1: 1$, indicating that the complex is fluxional in solution. Previously, we reported the isolation of


Fig. 1 Molecular structure of the anion of 1. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ): W-Se(1) 2.322(1), W-Se(2) 2.326(1), W-Se(3) 2.319(1); Se(1)-$\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{Se}(2) \quad 105.42(4), \quad \mathrm{Se}(1)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{Se}(3) \quad 103.87(4), \quad \mathrm{Se}(2)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{Se}(3)$ 104.25(4).


Fig. 2 Molecular structure of 3. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : W-Se 2.6271(7), W-C(15) 1.984(7), W-C(20) 2.039(7), W-C25 2.025(8), $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{C}(11) 2.019(8)$, $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15) 1.220(9)$, $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20) 1.148(9)$, $\mathrm{N}(3)-$ C(25) 1.153(9); Se-W-C(5) 82.9(2), Se-W-C(15) 73.3(2), Se-W-C(20) 140.7(2), Se-W-C(25) 88.9(2), C(15)-W-C(20) 73.6(3), C(15)-W-C(25) 103.9(3), C(20)-W-C(25) 79.1(3).
the $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{Iv})$ thiolato complex $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{~W}\left(\mathrm{SBu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{CNBu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ from the $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{WCl}_{4} / \mathrm{LiStBu}^{\mathrm{t}}$ reaction in the presence of $\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{NC} .{ }^{4}$ The isolation of a W (II) selenolato complex, $\mathbf{3}$, is in accord with the tendency of selenolato complexes to favor lower oxidation states.

## Notes and references

$\dagger$ All manipulations were carried out under an atmosphere of argon using solvents purified by standard methods. A mixture of LiSeBut $(7.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{WCl}_{4}(0.86 \mathrm{~g}, 1.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was quickly added to a slurry of $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}(1.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 2 h . After centrifugation, the solution was evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in MeCN (30 mL ) to give a dark red solution and a brown solid. A solution of $\mathrm{PPh}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ ( $0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the dark red supernatant. Concentration and cooling to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded 0.38 g of $\mathbf{1}$ as dark red crystals in $23 \%$ yield. The brown solid which was insoluble in MeCN was recrystallized from toluene to provide 2 in $14 \%$ yield. Data for 1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cp} *), 7.6-8.0(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}$ ). ${ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}$ NMR ( $95.3 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ ), $\delta 1437$. IR $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 284 \mathrm{~m}$ (W=Se). UV-VIS (MeCN): $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) 299$ (19000), 350 (sh), 437 (38000), 530 (sh). Anal. calc.: C, 45.61, H, 3.94. Found: C, 45.57; H, $3.98 \%$. Data for 2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta 2.27$ (s, $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ ), 2.33 (s, Cp*). IR $v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 315 \mathrm{~m}, 298 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~W}=\mathrm{Se})$. FAB-MS: $954\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. calc.: C, 25.18; H, 3.17. Found: C, 25.01 ; H, 3.30\%.
$\ddagger$ Crystal data: for $\mathbf{1}: \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{Se}_{3} \mathrm{PW}, M=895.35$, orthorhombic, space group Pbca, $a=18.284(5), b=20.171(7), c=17.758(5) \AA, V=6549(2)$ $\AA^{3}, Z=8, T=293 \mathrm{~K}, \mu(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha)=69.37 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, Rigaku-AFC7R, 6360 measured reflections $\left(2 \theta_{\max }=50^{\circ}\right)$. The structure was solved by Patterson methods and refined by full-matrix least squares. At convergence, $R=$ $0.057, R_{\mathrm{w}}=0.058$, and GOF $=1.44$ for 352 variables refined against 4341 unique reflections $[I>1 \sigma(I)]$.
For 3: $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SeW}, M=704.55$, monoclinic, space group $P 2{ }_{1} / n, a=$ 9.7349(8), $b=18.9776(5), c=17.4477$ (3) $\AA, \beta=97.1791(7)^{\circ}, V=$ 3198.1(2) $\AA^{3}, Z=4, T=173 \mathrm{~K}, \mu(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha)=47.74 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, Rigaku-AFC7 equipped with a MSC/ADSC Quantum1 CCD detector, 20472 measured reflections ( $2 \theta_{\max }=55^{\circ}$ ). The structure was solved by Patterson methods and refined by full-matrix least squares (TEXSAN). At convergence, $R=$ $0.077, R_{\mathrm{w}}=0.063$, and GOF $=1.47$ for 307 variables refined against all 7147 unique reflections.
CCDC 182/1672. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b0/b003303k/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.
$\S \mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{NC}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a mixture of $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{WCl}_{4}(0.46 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiSeBu}{ }^{\mathrm{t}}(4.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 30 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 0.5 h . The resulting brown solution was evaporated to dryness. The residue was crystallized from hexane to give $3(0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right), \delta 1.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right), 1.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right), 1.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}$, Cp*); IR v/cm ${ }^{-1}$, 2038s, 1813s. Anal. calc.: C, 49.44; H, 7.30; N, 5.96. Found: C, 49.07; H, 7.31; N, 5.61\%.
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